

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084232 7

FAMILY LAW

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Carol Rogerson

1983-84

Toronto

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

1983

LAW LIBRARY

JAN 4 '84

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Carol Rogerson

1983-84

Toronto

Faculty of Law

University of Toronto

1983

I.	MARRIAGE & NULLITY	<u>Page</u>
1.	<u>Formal Validity</u>	
	Note	1
	<u>Re Fox</u>	1
	<u>Re Harris and Godkewitsch</u>	3
2.	<u>Essential Validity</u>	
	<u>G. v. G.</u>	8
3.	<u>Limited Purpose Marriage</u>	
	<u>Jiwani (Samji) v. Samji</u>	12
II.	DIVORCE	
1.	<u>Gay and Lesbian Relationships</u>	
	<u>Guy v. Guy</u>	13
	<u>T. v. T. and W.</u>	14
2.	<u>Cruelty</u>	
	<u>Hattie</u>	16
	<u>Elbaz</u>	17
3.	<u>Non-Consummation</u>	
	<u>Fernandez (Alarcio) v. Fernandez</u>	19
III.	PROPERTY	
1.	<u>Trust Doctrine</u>	
	<u>Murray v. Roty</u>	25
	<u>Wilson v. Munro</u>	36
	<u>Palachik v. Kiss</u>	45
2.	<u>FLRA ss. 4(6) & 8</u>	
	<u>Leatherdale v. Leatherdale</u>	54
	<u>Case Comment: Leatherdale v. Leatherdale</u>	67
	<u>Schorr v. Schorr and Melman</u>	72

Page

Note re Palachik v. Kiss

74

3. Exclusive Possession

West v. West

74

IV. SPOUSAL SUPPORT

1. Note re "spouse" under the FLRA

75

2. Capacity for Self-Support

Dieter v. Dieter

75

Murrell v. Murrell

77

3. Expectations

Andronyk v. Cox

79

4. Past Contributions to Family

Von Hessert v. Von Hessert

82

5. Types of Orders

Re Adams

85

6. Current Problems in Enforcement

92

V. CHILD CUSTODY AND ACCESS

1. Status Quo (De Facto Situation)

Novic v. Novic

95

2. Conduct

Cooney v. Cooney

96

Bezaire v. Bezaire

101

	<u>Page</u>
3. <u>General Principles: Tender Years</u>	
<u>Talsky v. Talsky</u>	104
<u>R. v. R.</u>	109
4. <u>Effect of Agreement</u>	
<u>Colter v. Colter</u>	121
5. <u>Non-Parental Custody</u>	
<u>M. and M. v. D. and D.</u>	125
6. <u>Abduction</u>	
<u>T. v. T.</u>	135
 VI. CHILD SUPPORT	
1. <u>Extended Definition of Parent-Child Relationship</u>	
<u>Riopelle v. Daniel</u>	143
<u>Wilton v. Wilton</u>	149
<u>Oxenham v. Oxenham</u>	151
2. <u>Age Limits</u>	
<u>Tromblay v. Tromblay</u>	153
3. <u>Determination of Amount</u>	
<u>Cooper v. Cooper</u>	156
 VII. CHILD IN NEED OF PROTECTION	
<u>Re C.C.A.S. and Pamela M.</u>	160
<u>Re Brady Infants</u>	170
<u>Re D.</u>	172
<u>Re C.A.S. for the District of Kenora</u> <u>and J.L.</u>	176
<u>Superintendant of Family and Child</u> <u>Service v. M.(B.) and O.(D.)</u>	179

	<u>Page</u>
VIII. ADOPTION	
1. <u>Race as a Factor</u>	
<u>Racine v. Woods</u>	179
2. <u>Religion as a Factor</u>	
Petch, "Re Lamb: Religious Considerations in Ontario Adoptions"	196
<u>Re Lee</u>	199
3. <u>Immigration Adoptions</u>	
<u>Re S.M.S.</u>	201
4. <u>Consent</u>	
<u>L. and L. v. Legal Aid Manitoba</u>	206
<u>Director of Man. Child Welfare v. Y.</u>	207
5. <u>Access</u>	
<u>Williams v. Hillier and Hillier</u>	210
6. <u>Search for Natural Parents</u>	
<u>Ferguson v. Director of Child Welfare</u>	216



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

